

MARTIN COUNTY

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS 2401 S.E. MONTEREY ROAD • STUART, FL 34996

DOUG SMITH STACEY HETHERINGTON HAROLD E. JENKINS II SARAH HEARD EDWARD V. CIAMPI Commissioner, District 1 Commissioner, District 2 Commissioner, District 3 Commissioner, District 4

Commissioner, District 5

TARYN KRYZDA, CPM SARAH W. WOODS

County Administrator County Attorney

TELEPHONE WEBSITE (772) 288-5400 www.martin.fl.us

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback.

September 18, 2020

Via electronic mail to: Maurice.barker@Floridadep.gov

SUBJECT:

Martin County Comments on Draft Amendments to

Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. Biosolids Rulemaking

Dear Mr. Barker:

Martin County (County) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on draft amendments to Florida Administrative Code Chapter 62-640 distributed to the public on September 4, 2020 in preparation for the workshop scheduled on September 18, 2020. On August 6, 2019, Martin County submitted comments to the Department on amendments subject of a Notice of Proposed Rule published on October 29, 2019. On March 20, 2020, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (Department) withdrew its proposed rule revisions to Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. to include consideration of the provisions of House Bill 712, Section 16, relating to biosolids management.

The County recognizes the value Department's rulemaking efforts to reduce nutrient contributions to waters of the state as a result of land application of biosolids. The County, however, strongly believes that Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. revisions fall short by not including the tracking, regulating and monitoring of Class AA biosolids in Florida as an integral component in attaining water quality goals.

We reiterate our position for Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. rulemaking as submitted to the Department by letter on August 6, 2019 (attached). The County incorporates the August 6, 2019 letter in its entirety herein. The following is a summary of the recommendations from the August 6, 2019:

Remove Class AA exemptions. Uniform regulation of all classes of biosolids is necessary to meet the goals of the state to minimize the migration of nutrients that impair water bodies. Class AA, A, and B nutrient concentrations and runoff potential are equal. Martin County strongly urges the Department to remove Class AA exemptions for Biosolids Land Application Site Permits, monitoring, setback

requirements, nutrient management plans and record keeping or at a minimum require monitoring and testing of nutrients within BMAP areas in order to:

- 1) Support local governments ability to meet enforceable basin management action plans (BMAPs) and associated total maximum daily loads for nitrogen and phosphorous;
- 2) Allow the Department to comply with legislative requirements for Northern Everglades and Estuary Protection Program (NEEPP) and the St Lucie River Water Protection Plan (SLRWPP) to protect and restore a designated state critical water resource;
- 3) Avoid the unintended consequence of encouraging increased production of Class AA biosolids for land application that under the current proposed revised rule requires no monitoring, setbacks, application limits or record keeping;
- 4) Minimize costly downstream water restoration projects by enforcing uniform regulation of all classes of biosolids upstream;
- 5) Encourage innovative technology for beneficial use biosolids e.g. regional waste to energy facilities.

Regarding the Department's September 2, 2020 proposals

Class AA Biosolids Inclusion

As previously stated, revisions to Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. should provide uniform regulation of all classes of biosolids to meet the goals of the state to minimize the migration of nutrients that impair water bodies. The nutrient concentrations and runoff potential for Class AA, A, and B are equal and therefore should have the same regulation. Referring to Class AA biosolids as a fertilizer is nothing more than giving Class AA a name. Calling Class AA a fertilizer does not diminish nutrient concentration nor runoff potential or change the fact that Class AA meets the definition of a biosolid. In the absence of removing Class AA exemptions for monitoring, setback requirements, nutrient management plans and record keeping from Chapter 62-640, F.A.C., Martin County urges the Department to require demonstration of Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) compliance including nutrients within *all* state BMAP areas not just Lake Okeechobee, St Lucie River and Caloosahatchee BMAP areas.

62-640.00 Definitions

The following is the stated definition for seasonal high water table:

"Seasonal high water" means the elevation to which ground and surface water may be expected to rise due to a normal wet season. Climate change is impacting precipitation patterns dramatically and in turn may significantly influence the meaning of "a normal wet season". FDEP is urged to address climate change and reference to seasonal norms in this definition by clarifying how seasonal high water elevations will be calculated.

62-640.300 General Requirements

62-640.300.3(g) All permitted biosolids land application sites shall be enrolled in the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) best management practices (BMP) program or be within an agricultural operation enrolled in the program for the applicable commodity type. It is unclear what the highlighted portion of the statement means. Recommend deleting.

62-640.400 Prohibitions.

62-640.400 (14) Biosolids shall not be applied on soils that have a seasonal high water table less than 6 inches from the soil surface or within 6 inches of the intended depth of biosolids placement, unless a Department-approved nutrient management plan and water quality monitoring plan provide reasonable assurance that the land application of biosolids at the site will not cause or contribute to a violation of the state's surface water quality standards or ground water quality standards nor impact verified impaired waterbodies that have a total maximum daily load (TMDL) limit. Martin County urges the FDEP to consider linking this statement of violation of water quality standards to BMAP by adding the underlined language above.

62-640.650 Monitoring, Record Keeping, Reporting, and Notification

62-640.650 Section 2(c) Ground Water Monitoring. The County encourages FDEP to consider the establishment of groundwater monitoring at all sites rather than apply cumbersome and complex decision-making criteria and statement that FDEP be allowed to install monitoring wells when monitoring is not required.

62-640.650 2(d) Surface Water Monitoring

The site permittee shall ensure surface water monitoring for total phosphorus, total nitrogen, and fecal coliform bacteria is conducted for sites when an application site is bordered or crossed by waters of the state and the application zone is located within 1000 feet of waters of the state, excluding wetlands. The County recommends FDEP consider the inclusion of wetlands.

62-640.700 Requirements for Land Application

As discussed above, Martin County is opposed to the exemption of Class AA biosolids in this draft rule. This is significantly apparent in 62-640.700 where Class AA is not required to meet nearly all of land application requirements including permitting and NMP compliance as well as cumulative application limits for metals (Section 7).

62-640.700 Section 10 Seasonal High Water Table

- (a) In accordance with subsection 62-640.400(14), biosolids shall not be applied on soils that have a seasonal high water table less than 6 inches from the soil surface or within 6 inches of the intended depth of biosolids placement, unless a Department-approved nutrient management plan and water quality monitoring plan provide reasonable assurance that the land application of biosolids at the site will not cause or contribute to a violation of the state's surface water quality standards or ground water standards. As commented on above reference 62-640.400 Prohibitions, the County urges FDEP to link the statement of violation of water quality standards to BMAP by adding the underlined language nor impact verified impaired waterbodies that have a total maximum daily load (TMDL) limit.
- (b) A minimum unsaturated soil depth of two feet is required between the depth of biosolids placement and the water table level at the time the Class A or Class B biosolids are applied to the soil. Martin County requests that the FDEP consider including Class AA in this section.
- **62-640.850(2)** provides for the Distribution and Marketing of Class AA Biosolids and specifically excludes biosolids composts that are distributed and marketed outside of the Lake Okeechobee, St. Lucie River, and Caloosahatchee River watersheds from licensing and registration as a fertilizer, as defined in Section 373.4595, F.S.. We believe that it is in the best interest of the State that all biosolids-derived composts be registered as a fertilizer and distributed under a Florida Fertilizer License for all watersheds; this will enable additional tracking of amounts distributed while also placing all such products on a level playing field with respect to fees paid.

It is imperative that the state manage biosolids effectively to mitigate impacts to Florida's impaired waterways and curtail the continued high cost of water restoration projects to those local governments that carry the burden of the many. Since 2001, Martin county has constructed over 30 water quality retrofit projects to treat runoff from neighborhoods. Land acquisition, design, permitting and construction of these stormwater treatment area (STA) facilities cost in excess of \$70 million dollars and there are more projects underway. The County invests an additional \$500,000 of local dollars annually to maintain and retrofit these STA's to maximize their benefit.

While it is recognized that the revised rule proposes to improve surface and groundwater quality through increased regulatory requirements for Class B and Class A biosolids management, it falls

short by excluding Class AA biosolids. Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. should provide uniform regulation of *all* classes of biosolids to meet the goals of the state to minimize the migration of nutrients that impair water bodies. The nutrient concentrations and runoff potential for Class AA, A, and B are equal and therefore *all* classes should have more restrictive regulation.

Sincerely,

James Gorton

Public Works Director

Attachments:

Martin County Comment Letter August 6, 2019



MARTIN COUNTY

2401 S.E. MONTEREY ROAD • STUART, FL 34996

DOUG SMITH STACEY HETHERINGTON Commissioner, District 2 HAROLD E. JENKINS II SARAH HEARD EDWARD V. CIAMPI

Commissioner, District 1 Commissioner, District 3 Commissioner, District 4

Commissioner, District 5

SARAH W. WOODS

TARYN KRYZDA, CPM County Administrator County Attorney

TELEPHONE (772) 288-5400 WEBSITE www.martin.fl.us

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback.

August 6, 2019

Via electronic mail to: Kristin.Gousse@dep.state.fl.us

SUBJECT:

Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. Biosolids Rulemaking

Public Comment Period

Martin County Comments on Proposed Revisions

Dear Ms. Gousse:

Martin County appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (Department) proposed revisions to Chapter 62-640, F.A.C., developed to address recommended actions of the Biosolids Technical Advisory Committee following their review of management practices and potential nutrient impacts related to the land application of biosolids.

Un-revised Rule 62-640.100 (1) and revised (1)(a), F.A.C., titled Scope, Intent, Purpose, and Applicability, states the following:

- (1) All domestic wastewater treatment facilities which use biological treatment processes generate biosolids as a by-product of the treatment process. The Department finds that unregulated use, disposal, or land application of biosolids poses a threat to the environment and public health.
 - (a) It is the intent of the Department in this chapter to regulate the management, use, and land application of biosolids so as to ensure protection of the environment and public health, including minimizing the migration of nutrients, nitrogen and phosphorus that impair or contribute to the impairment of waterbodies.

According to the above Rule, the Department has recognized that biosolids, if unregulated, pose a "threat to the environment and public health." Moreover, in the Notice of Development of Rulemaking (Rulemaking Notice), required pursuant to Section 120.54(2)(a), Florida Statutes, the Department seeks to "ensure the proper management and permitting criteria for the land application of biosolids" through the current rulemaking on biosolids. Thus, the Department recognizes that biosolids contain nutrients and nutrients contribute to the impairment of waterbodies in the state of Florida. This rulemaking process is a valuable attempt to reduce nutrient contributions to waters of the state as a result of biosolids applied to land.

Under Chapter 62-640, F.A.C., there are three classifications of biosolids: AA, A and B. The only parameters that separate Class A and B biosolid products from a Class AA product are the pathogen, vector attraction, and metal concentrations, according to the definition of a Class AA biosolid under Rule 62-640.200(10), F.A.C. In fact, the nutrient content among all biosolid classes is, as a practical matter, the same. Another similarity among the biosolid products is that, unless the product is disposed at a landfill, they are all deposited on land. The stacking, or the manner in which the product is placed on land, may be different. The purposes may be different. But the fact that all three classified products are deposited on land in Florida is the same.

However, under the existing and proposed rules, Class AA is exempt from regulatory requirements imposed on Class A and B. The Class AA exemptions include, but are not limited to, soil monitoring, ground water monitoring, nutrient management plans and runoff prevention requirements. See Rule 62-640.850. F.A.C. Class AA is also exempt from setback distances from surface waters. Standard record keeping requirements that are applicable to Class A and B are inapplicable to Class AA in Florida even though the nutrient content is neither eliminated nor reduced in the Class AA production process. Therefore, all classes can contribute the same nutrient loading to surface waters, except that Class AA can contribute more nutrient loading to surface water under the existing and proposed rules because it is exempt from setback requirements and application limits.

In 2018, Blue Cypress Lake in Indian River County experienced a massive Harmful Algal Bloom (HAB). Studies showed, and the Department accepted the findings, that the cause was likely biosolid runoff from nearby fields. Although the biosolid product was Class B, the nutrient runoff would have been the same if Class AA had been applied because the biosolid process does not reduce or eliminate nutrients.

In March of this year, Martin County staff observed that Class AA biosolids had been recently placed on vacant land near the 710 Canal. This property is within the geographic boundaries of the St. Lucie River Water Protection Plan (SLRWPP). The St. Lucie River watershed is a regional watershed within the Northern Everglades and Estuary Protection Program (NEEPP) and is designated as a critical water resource of the state. Sec. 373.4595(1)(a), Fla. Stat. Among other things, NEEPP recognizes the Legislature's intent to "protect and restore surface water resources and maintain compliance with water quality standards...and downstream receiving waters..." *Id.* At (1)(1), Fla. Stat. The Legislature further required that NEEPP "shall provide for consideration of all water quality issues needed to meet the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and **shall include** ... **refinement of existing regulations**..." *Id.* (emphasis added). The actual SLRWP Plan,

published in 2009, identifies the state's water quality objectives that include reduction of "pollutant loads by improving management of pollutant sources throughout the watershed." SLRWPP, Sec. 3.3.2.

SLRWPP, a component of NEEPP, prohibits disposal of biosolids within the St. Lucie river watershed "unless the applicant can affirmatively demonstrate that the nutrients in the biosolids will not add to the nutrient loading in the watershed." Sec. 373.4595 (4)(d)5., Fla. Stat. Class AA is, however, exempted from this prohibition and no other conditions, such as monitoring or setbacks from surface water, are required for Class AA regardless of the nutrient content or potential for unrestricted runoff.

Because the Legislature in Section 373.4595(4)(d)5., Florida Statutes, has exempted Class AA from its biosolid prohibition within the SLRWPP boundaries and the Department, in Rule 62-640.850, has exempted Class AA from record keeping requirements, there is no way to know where and how much Class AA may contribute to loading of nutrients in soil, groundwater and surface waters in the state of Florida much less in critical watersheds of south Florida. This is in direct conflict with the Legislatures intent in NEEPP, the Department's proposed Rule 62-640.100(1)(a), and the Rulemaking Notice that presents the Department's purpose and intent of the proposed changes are to "ensure proper management" of biosolids and help achieve the Department's intent to "[minimize] the migration of nutrients, nitrogen, and phosphorous that impair or contribute to the impairment of waterbodies." See, proposed Rule 62-640.100(1)(a), F.A.C., above. (emphasis added).

The St. Lucie River and Estuary within Martin County receive runoff from discharged nutrient-rich water from Lake Okeechobee, nutrient-rich inflow from Central and Southern Florida (C&SF) system canals such as the C-23, C-24, and C-25, and other canals and tributaries. *See*, Sec. 1.1.3, SLRWPP, 2009. Even though these waterways receive runoff from outside sources (in addition to local urban runoff), the County is obligated to meet St. Lucie River and Estuary Basin Management Action Plan's (BMAP's) nutrient standards within established deadlines.

According to the Department, a BMAP is the "blueprint" for restoring impaired waters by reducing nutrient loadings to meet the adopted TMDL. The U.S EPA has explained that a TMDL is the calculation of the maximum amount of a pollutant allowed to enter a waterbody so that the waterbody will meet and continue to meet water quality standards for that particular pollutant. A TMDL determines a pollutant reduction target and allocates load reductions necessary to the source(s) of the pollutant. The BMAP contains strategies designed to assist the local government in meeting the TMDL. BMAPs are ultimately adopted by Secretarial Order and enforceable against the local entity by the Department if the TMDL standards in the BMAP are not met, regardless of the source of nutrients. If the local entity does not meet the TMDL standards in the BMAP and the Department enforces the terms of the BMAP, the local entity could be subject to

civil penalties and/or fines. Martin County, therefore, deems this rulemaking process to have potentially significant beneficial impacts to the future water quality within the county's boundaries, for which it is responsible under the BMAP, its tax payer based financial interests, as well as the health of the near shore Florida Reef Tract. Conversely, as a local government obligated under an enforceable BMAP in an area that receives nutrient-rich water, some of which is likely from biosolids runoff, Martin County does not consider the amendments to the rule, as proposed thus far, to adequately address nutrient contribution from Class AA biosolids in the Department's effort to "[minimize] the migration of nutrients."

Thus, Martin County offers the following recommendations for Chapter 62-640, F.A.C. rulemaking:

- A. Regarding Rule 62-640.850, Distribution and Marketing of Class AA Biosolids, the following exemptions for Class AA should be removed from the Rule so that <u>nutrient</u> runoff from Class AA can be regulated the same as Class A and B nutrient runoff:
- Rule 62-640.500 (requirea a Nutrient Management Plan)
- 62-640.650(3)(b) through (d) (requires soil and groundwater monitoring, and lab certification)
- 62-640.650(4)(c) through (j)(requires record keeping for biosolid application volumes, application zones, 5 year monitoring records, hauling records, etc..)
- 62-640.650(5)(d) through (e) (requires that summaries of monitoring and other record keeping must be submitted to the Department)
- 62-640.650(6) (g) (requires Class AA haulers to notify the Department within 24 hours if certain pathogen, vector attracion or metal concentrations are not met)
- 62-640.700(1) through (4)(requires permits and compliance with NMPs)
- 62-640.700(6) through (11) (storage, stockpiling, setback and, runoff prevention crietria)
- 62-640.800 (additional land application critera)

B. Regarding current proposed changes:

• 62-640.200 - Add a definition of BMAP between lines 5 and 6

- 62-640.400(11) "This prohibition does not apply to Class AA biosolids that are marketed and distributed as fertilizer products in accordance with Rule 62-640.850, F.A.C." Remove the line or add "with the exception of areas that are designated as BMAP areas."
- 62-640.400 (12) "This prohibition does not apply to Class AA biosolids that are marketed and distributed as fertilizer products in accordance with Rule 62-640.850, F.A.C." Remove the line or add "with the exception of areas that are designated as BMAP areas."
- 62-640.650 (b) (2) "Representative soil monitoring parameters in subsection 62-640.700
 (5) , F.A.C., shall be conducted at application sites for each application zone prior to application site permitting, except sites only permitted for Class AA biosolids." Add "with the exception of areas that are designated as BMAP areas."
- 62-640.850 (2) "Distributed and marketed biosolids or biosolids products shall be distributed and marketed as fertilizer..." Need to ensure that Class AA fertilizer biosolids can be distinguished from other biosolids.
- 62-640.700 (10) Define how the Department will determine the "seasonal high-water table."

C. Specifically for BMAP regions:

If the exemptions for Class AA are not removed, Rules 62-640.850 or 62-640.700 should be amended so that Class AA biosolids meet the same requirements for Class A and Class B in designated BMAP regions and include:

- Nutrient management plan and biosolids storage plan
- Soil monitoring
- Groundwater monitoring
- Setback requirements
- Record keeping and biosolids application site log
- Biosolids application site annual summary
- Cumulative application limits

Rule 62-640.400 (200), F.A.C. states:

Land application of biosolids shall not result in a violation of Florida surface water quality standards.

Class AA products may be called "fertilizer," but they are, in fact, biosolids as defined in NEEPP, Rule 62-640, and by the U.S. EPA.

CONCLUSION

Martin County's ability to meet the nitrogen and phosphorous TMDL's under the enforceable BMAP continues to be jeopardized until land application or placement of biosolids in BMAP areas and upstream of BMAP areas is restricted. The County's efforts will be further complicated by continuing to exempt Class AA biosolids from regulations (monitoring, setbacks, record keeping, etc.). Class AA exemptions for monitoring, set back requirements, and nutrient management plans at the very least, should be removed so that the Department can more accurately allocate locations of nitrogen and phosphorous loads in its effort to "[minimize] the migration of nutrients that impair or contribute to the impairment of waterbodies." Removing exemptions and requiring the same nutrient monitoring, set back requirements, nutrient management plans and record keeping, as required for Classes A and B, will provide a better understanding of nutrient loading statewide and provide a stronger pathway to success for the goals outlined in NEEPP. Because nutrient concentrations and runoff are no different for Classes AA, A, and B, regulating placement of these products on land in the same way will also allow BMAP obligations to be more fairly applied to local governments that are responsible for reducing nutrients in their receiving water bodies.

Given the points outlined above, uniform regulation of all biosolid products would allow for greater accuracy in determining nutrient load allocations at their sources. Uniform regulation would also allow for more efficiency in reaching the goal of restoring impaired waters rather than spending millions of taxpayer dollars on downstream water restoration projects. Martin County urges the Department to incorporate these recommendations and, although outside the Chapter 120 rulemaking process, the County also urges the Department to expeditiously move forward on technology-based processing solutions for biosolids.

We look forward to further engagement in this rulemaking process.

Sincerely,

Don Donaldson, P.E.

Deputy County Administrator