

Residential Capacity Analysis

Martin County

Adopted November 2025



Prepared by Metro Forecasting Models

INTRODUCTION

This analysis considers population projections, future demand, and future supply in order to assure that projected population needs will be met.

Objective 4.1D of the Martin County Comprehensive Growth Management Plan (CGMP) requires the County “to collect and monitor development and population data to ensure sufficient land to address projected population needs.”

This analysis will be combined with the Residential Capacity and Vacant Land Analysis to compare the supply of potential units to the calculated demand for two planning periods. The planning periods were updated in March 2025 (Ordinance 1240). The updated language in Policy 4.1D.5 contains the following requirement:

The 20-year planning period for residential capacity began with the 2010 Census and shall be updated to a new 20-year planning period every 5 years. The residential capacity analysis showing the total residential supply within the Primary and the Secondary Urban Service Districts shall be compared to the projected residential demand as outlined in Policy 4.1D.3. and 4.1D.4 above. The report shall show demand and supply comparisons for a ten-year period as well as for the 20-year planning period.

Therefore, the residential demand for a ten-year and twenty-year planning period will be compared to the amount of land available to accommodate that demand.

The residential capacity analysis consists of three parts:

- 1) Population projections - these are calculated in accordance with Policy 4.1D.2. The estimates and projections are primarily based on the 2020 United States Census and the State of Florida Office of Economic and Demographic Research (EDR).
- 2) Determination of future demand for residential units for the two planning periods. Calculations of demand are derived from formulas provided in Policy 4.1D.3.
- 3) Determining the supply of residential units. Consistent with Policy 4.1D.5: *Residential capacity defines the available residential development options within the Primary and Secondary Urban Service Districts that can meet the demand for population growth consistent with the Future Land Use Map.*

Definitions from Chapter 2 of the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan

Housing units in actual use: Equals the number of residential housing units occupied by permanent residents as classified by the US Census, plus the number of vacant seasonal housing units. Housing units in actual use equals the occupied housing units plus vacant seasonal housing units.

Peak population (housing): The number of residents living in residential housing units for more than six months of the year, and the number of occupants of residential housing who spend less than six months in Martin County equals peak population (housing). This is calculated by adding permanent population (housing) and the seasonal population (housing) to determine the total demand for residential housing units.

Permanent population (housing): The number of residents living in the unincorporated area in residential housing units for more than six months of the year.

Seasonal population (housing): The number of residents living in residential housing units who spend less than six months in Martin County. The seasonal population in terms of the demand for residential housing units is calculated by multiplying the persons per household, unincorporated area, by the "vacant seasonal housing units" as classified by the US Census and defined in this chapter.

Vacant seasonal housing units: The decennial Census count for residential housing units that are occupied, but for less than six months of the year. This definition excludes the following vacant categories used by the U.S. Census: For Rent; Rented, not occupied; For sale only; Sold, not occupied; For migrant workers.

Demand Methodology

The methodology for calculating residential demand can be found in Policy 4.1D.3, as shown below:

Future housing demand projections shall be based on all of the following:

- (1) The demand for future residential housing units in the unincorporated area shall be based on the percentage increase in permanent population projected by the Population Technical Bulletin.
- (2) Occupied housing units (HO) are classified by the Census as those residential housing units in use by the permanent population. Vacant seasonal housing units (HS) are classified as those residential housing units that are seasonally occupied by residents who spend less than 6 months of the year in Martin County. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.
- (3) Permanent and seasonal population in residential housing is served by housing units in actual use (HU). Housing units in actual use (HU) equals the occupied housing units (HO) plus vacant seasonal housing units (HS).

$$HU = HO + HS$$

- (4) Vacant housing not in seasonal use shall not be used in calculating housing unit demand but shall be used in calculating supply. Hotel/motel units shall not be used in calculating residential housing demand.

- (5) The projected demand for housing units in the future shall be determined by dividing the projected, permanent population (housing), as defined in Chapter 2, by the permanent population (housing). American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

Projected permanent population (housing) / Permanent population (housing) = percentage increase in demand.

- (6) This percentage increase in demand multiplied by the housing units in actual use (HU) in the most recent census year equals the projected housing unit need in the future period.

Percentage increase in demand x HU = projected housing unit demand.

- (7) Future residential housing needs shall be updated every five years.

DEMAND CALCULATIONS

This section illustrates the formulas in Policy 4.1D.3. Below is a summary of residential housing units derived from the 2020 decennial Census and the Martin County Property Appraiser. The information in the table provides for the Occupied Housing Units (HO) and the Vacant Seasonal Housing Units (HS) to be combined to arrive at the Housing units in use (HU) for the unincorporated area within the Urban Service District.

Unincorporated Housing Units by USDCODE and Occupancy

USD CODE	2020 Housing Units	Occupied Units (HO)	Seasonal Units (HS)	Total Units (HU)
1	63,240	53,575	6,140	59,715
2	541	489	26	515
3	2	2	0	2
Total	63,783	54,066	6,166	60,232

Conclusion for Policy 4.1D.3(3) and (4):

Occupied housing units (HO) + Vacant seasonal housing units (HS) = Housing units in use (HU)

$$54,066 + 6,166 = 60,232 \text{ as per Policy 4.1D.3(3)}$$

The next formula found in Policy 4.1D.3(5) requires population data. The table below shows population data from the 2020 decennial U.S. Census and Martin County Population Technical Bulletin (PTB) Medium population projections for 2035 and 2045. The table calculates projected growth rates by dividing future PTB populations by the 2020 Census population. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

Martin County Projected Growth

Year	2020(C)	2035(PTB-Med)	2045(PTB-Med)
Population	158,431	178,100	185,100
% Change since 2020 Census (C)		12.41%	16.83%

The percentage of increased demand is used in the formula found in Policy 4.1D.3 (6). The percentage of increased demand is multiplied by Housing units in use (HU) to arrive at the projected housing demand for the planning period.

Projected Housing Unit Demand by Horizon Year

	2020 HU	% Increase	Projected HU Demand
10-Year Horizon	60,232	12.41%	7,478
20-Year Horizon	60,232	16.83%	10,139

Distribution of Housing Unit Demand

Policy 4.1D.4 states:

Policy 4.1D.4. Distribution of housing unit demand.

(1) The percentage of residential housing demand that will be met outside the urban service districts shall be based on the average number of certificates of occupancy for the preceding five years. The number of Certificates of Occupancy outside the urban service districts shall be divided by the total number of Certificates of Occupancy for the unincorporated area to determine the appropriate percentage.

(2) The remainder of residential housing demand must be met within the Primary and Secondary Urban Service Districts.

The table below lists the number of housing units constructed by year and Urban Service District. Policy 4.1D.4 requires the allocation of residential demand to be based on the 5-year average distribution.

Historic Distribution of New Housing by Location

USD	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Total	Average	%
Eastern Primary	274	323	194	571	616	1978	396	76.79%
Eastern Secondary	34	62	68	88	69	321	64	12.46%
Outside	28	31	49	105	63	276	55	10.71%
Western Primary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Western Secondary	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.04%
Total	337	416	311	764	748	2576	515	100.00%

Source: Martin County Property Appraiser 2025 Parcel Data

Policy 4.1D.4 requires the allocation of residential demand to be based on the 5-year average distribution. The table below shows the allocation of residential demand by USD for both 2035 and 2045.

Allocation of Residential Demand by USD

USD	5-Year % Ave	2035 Demand	2045 Demand
Eastern Primary	76.79%	5,742	7,785
Eastern Secondary	12.46%	932	1,263
Outside	10.71%	801	1,086
Western Primary	0.00%	-	-
Western Secondary	0.04%	3	4
Total	100%	7,478	10,139

Policy 4.1D.5 Residential Supply to Meet Demand

Martin County's Policy 4.1D.5 very precisely outlines the parameters to be measured for the supply of housing units.

The units needed (demand) in the 10 year period and the units needed in the 20 year period must be compared to the supply of vacant land and vacant units to determine if there is residential capacity in the urban service districts. The policy is broken down into five parts, and therefore the methodology in this analysis is broken down into five parts.

Policy 4.1D.5 Residential capacity analysis. Martin County shall produce a residential capacity analysis every five years. Residential capacity defines the available residential development options within the Primary and Secondary Urban Service Districts that can meet the demand for population growth consistent with the Future Land Use Map.

Residential supply shall consist of:

- (1) Vacant property that allows residential use according to the Future Land Use Map. The maximum allowable density shall be used in calculating the number of available units on vacant acreage. For the purpose of this calculation, the maximum allowable density for wetlands shall be one-half the density of a given future land use designation.
- (2) Subdivided single family and duplex lots. The following lot types shall be included in the residential capacity calculation:
 - (a) Vacant single family or duplex lots of record as of 1982 developed prior to the County's tracking of development approvals.
 - (b) Vacant single family or duplex lots of record platted after 1982.
- (3) Potential for residential development in Mixed Use Overlays.
- (4) Excess vacant housing not in use by permanent or seasonal residents. Excess vacant housing is a vacancy rate higher than 3% of the number of housing units in actual use. American Community Survey Data shall be used as source data between Decennial Census years.

Vacant land

- (1) Vacant property that allows residential use according to the Future Land Use Map. The maximum allowable density shall be used in calculating the number of available units on vacant acreage. For the purpose of this calculation, the maximum allowable density for wetlands shall be one-half the density of a given future land use designation.

The table below shows the potential units in the Primary Urban Service District (PUSD) calculation based on available parcels. This excludes potential units in the CRAs, which are considered in Part (3) Below.

Potential Units in Primary USD (excludes pre/post lots and known projects)							
Future Land Use	Total Acres	Probable Wetlands	Probable Uplands	Allowable Density	Upland Units	Wetland Density Transfer Units	Total Units at Maximum Density
COMM/OFF/RES	82.1	1.4	80.7	10	806.9	7.0	814
COMM. WATERFRONT	26.3	13.9	12.4	10	123.9	69.7	194
ESTATE DENSITY 2UPA	116.7	17.9	98.9	2	197.7	17.9	216
HIGH DENSITY	27.0	1.0	26.0	10	260.3	5.0	265
MEDIUM DENSITY	11.0	0.2	10.9	8	86.9	0.6	88
LOW DENSITY	62.9	18.5	44.4	5	221.8	46.4	268
MOBILE HOME	5.8	0.1	5.7	8	45.4	0.3	46
Total	331.9	53.0	278.9		1,743.1	146.9	1,890

The table below shows the potential units in the Secondary Urban Service District (SUSD) calculation based on available parcels.

Potential Units in Secondary USD (excludes pre/post lots and known projects)							
Future Land Use	Total Acres	Probable Wetlands	Probable Uplands	Allowable Density	Upland Units	Wetland Density Transfer Units	Total Units at Maximum Density
RURAL DENSITY	1,153.0	444.0	709.1	0.5	354.5	111.0	466

(1a) Vacant property with valid approved projects allowing residential development. For the purpose of this calculation, only the project's residential entitlements are included.

Summary of Approved Residential Units by USD		
Approved Project Name	Primary	Secondary
BANYAN BAY	72	0
COPPERLEAF (FKA SAND TRAIL)	2	0
COTTAGES AT COCONUT CAY (FKA SUMMERLAND)	20	0
COVE ROYALE	39	0
CRYSTAL COVE (FKA BAY POINT VILLAS)	15	0
DUNWORTH MIXED-USE	2	0
FLORIDIAN GOLF CLUB (FKA HARBOUR LINKS YACHT & CC)	5	0
GRAND ISLE ESTATES (FKA GALLEON ON THE RIVER)	17	0
HIGHPOINTE (FKA PULTE @ CHRIST FELLOWSHIP)	0	160
HOBE SOUND TOWNHOMES	4	0
HUNTER LAKE (FKA HUNTER INVESTMENTS)	20	0
I-95 RIVERSIDE	97	0
KANNER OAKS (aka TWIN OAKS)	6	0
LANGFORD LANDING	3	0
LOGGERHEAD ESTATES II	14	0
MAGNOLIA RIDGE (FKA PALM BLUFF)	2	0
MARTINEZ FAMILY SUBDIVISION, M235-02	4	0
MEDALIST CLUB COTTAGES	2	0
MEDALIST GOLF CLUB (Replat)	3	0
MEDALIST VILLAGE, M093	7	0
NEWFIELD CROSSROADS	4145	0
OFFICE COMPLEX WITH 4 APARTMENT UNITS	4	0
PADDOCK	63	0
PARADISE LAKE	0	12
PEPPERWOOD PUD	43	0
PINE RIDGE APARTMENTS	56	0
PORT COVE PUD	29	0
RIO MARINE VILLAGE	192	0
RIO RIDGE	6	0
SAGO BLUFF (FKA HILLCREST BLUFF)	10	0
SHELLBRIDGE-S174	5	0
SHOWCASE (FKA COVE SALERNO)	96	0
SUNSET MEADOW	13	0
SUNSET TRAIL ESTATES	28	0
THE COVE (apartments)	48	0
THE COVE AT HOBE SOUND	37	0
THE LANDINGS AT HOBE SOUND VILLAGE	16	0
THE MARTIN APARTMENTS	18	0
THE PALMS @HOBE SOUND (FKA SUNSET RIDGE), S225	120	0
THE PRESERVE AT LOBLOLLY NORTH	13	0
THE PRESERVE AT PARK TRACE (FKA VIA CLAUDIA)	46	0
THE PRESERVE AT RIO MARINE VILLAGE	145	0
THE PRESERVE AT SALERNO	79	0
THE REEF PLAT/REPLAT (ROCKY POINT)	3	0
TWELVE OAKS	3	0
WEST JENSEN -AVILA - PARCEL 6.4	69	0
Total	5621	172

The table below summarizes the potential units in the Primary and Secondary Urban Service Districts (USD's).

Summary of Eastern Primary and Secondary Units		
Urban Services District	Primary USD	Secondary USD
Units on Vacant Lands @ Max Density	1,890	466
Approved Projects with Units	5,621	172
Sub Totals	7,511	638
Total Units	8,149	

(2) Single family and duplex platted lot evaluation within the Eastern USD.

The table below summarizes the vacant lots of record as of 1982 developed prior to the County's tracking of development approvals, by USD.

Vacant Lots Developed Pre 1982	
Urban Services District	Lots
Lots in Primary	448
Lots in Secondary	0
Total	448

The table below summarizes the vacant lots of record developed after 1982 by USD.

Vacant Lots Developed Post 1982	
Urban Services District	Lots
Lots in Primary	176
Lots in Secondary	0
Total	176

There are a total of 624 vacant platted lots in the Eastern Primary USD and no vacant platted lots in the Eastern Secondary USD.

(3) Potential for residential development in the Mixed Use overlays. All Mixed Use overlays are within the CRAs of the unincorporated county.

The table below summarizes the potential units in the Martin County Unincorporated CRAs which are all located in the Primary Urban Service District (USD). The “Mixed Use” overlays were replaced by the Future Land Use designations shown below.

MC Unincorporated CRAs Summary	Total Acres	Probable Wetlands	Probable Uplands	Upland Units	Wetland Density Transfer Units	Total Units at Maximum Density
CRA Center						
Core	24.2	0.1	24.2	341.3	0.4	342
Corridor	12.1	0.4	11.7	156.0	3.0	159
General	44.1	0.0	44.1	532.7	0.3	533
Railroad Corridor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
CRA Neighborhood						
Multifamily	50.1	1.2	48.9	391.5	5.9	398
Mobile Home	2.9	0.0	2.9	23.2	0.0	23
Detached	102.8	5.1	97.8	552.4	13.6	566
Detached Limited	2.5	0.0	2.5	12.4	0.0	12
Detached Estate	2.3	0.0	2.3	2.3	0.0	2
Waterfront	0.9	0.0	0.9	9.1	0.0	9
Industrial	1.9	0.0	1.9	19.0	0.0	19
Total	243.8	6.8	237.2	2,039.9	23.2	2,063.1

(4) Excess vacant housing units not in use by permanent or seasonal residents. By definition, excess vacant housing is a vacancy rate higher than 3% of the housing units in actual use (HU).

Housing Units in Use (HU) by USD				
USD CODE	2020 Housing Units	Occupied Units (HO)	Seasonal Units (HS)	Total Units (HU)
1	63,240	53,575	6,140	59,715
2	541	489	26	515
3	2	2	0	2
Total	63,783	54,066	6,166	60,232

Vacant Housing Units not in Seasonal Use = 2020 Housing Units - HU = 3,551

Excess Vacant Residential Units	
	Units
Vacant Housing Units NOT in Seasonal Use	3,551
3% of Housing Units in Use (HU)	1,807
Vacant Housing Units less 3% HU	1,744

Therefore, there are 1,744 excess vacant units. The 1,744 excess vacant units are allocated by location. In accordance with Policy 4.1D.4, these units are assigned to the Primary, Secondary or are assigned outside the Urban Service Districts based on the allocation Certificates of Occupancy calculated previously and applied to the table below.

Allocation of Excess Vacant Units by USD		
Urban Services District	% Allocation	Excess Vacant Units
Eastern Primary	76.79%	1,339
Eastern Secondary	12.46%	218
Outside	10.71%	187
Total	100%	1,744

Summary of Supply of Potential Units (as of July 2025)

Below is a table summarizing sections (1) through (4) of Policy 4.1D.5 with the source of the units and which Eastern USD they are located.

Summary of Potential Units		
Supply of Units in Urban Service District from Policy 4.1D.5	Supply of Units in Primary USD	Supply of Units in Secondary USD
Vacant Land	1,890	466
Pre-1982 Lots	448	-
Post-1982 Lots	176	-
CRA's	2,063	-
Excess Vacancy	1,339	218
Approved/Undeveloped	5,621	172
Total	11,537	856

Comparison of Residential demand versus Supply of Potential Units

The language in Policy 4.1D.5 contains the following requirement:

The 20-year planning period for residential capacity began with the 2010 Census and shall be updated to a new 20-year planning period every 5 years. The residential capacity analysis showing the total residential supply within the Primary and the Secondary Urban Service Districts shall be compared to the projected residential demand as outlined in Policy 4.1D.3. and 4.1D.4 above. The report shall show demand and supply comparisons for a ten year period as well as for the 20-year planning period.

Therefore, the residential demand for a ten-year and twenty-year planning period will be compared to the amount of land available to accommodate that demand.

Demand versus Supply Analysis for Planning Period 2025-2035			
Eastern USDs	2035 Demand	Unit Supply	Percent of Need in the 10-year Planning Period
Eastern Primary	5,742	11,537	201%
Eastern Secondary	932	856	92%
Total	6,674	12,393	186%

Demand versus Supply Analysis for Planning Period 2025-2045			
Eastern USDs	2045 Demand	Unit Supply	Percent of Need in the 20-year Planning Period
Eastern Primary	7,785	11,537	148%
Eastern Secondary	1,263	856	68%
Total	9,048	12,393	137%

Based on the available potential and permitted housing units in this analysis, there is sufficient supply of vacant land and undeveloped approved projects to meet the needs for the 10-year and 20-year planning horizon years.